

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,203	04/16/2004		Yufei Wang	29250-001045/US/COA	7664
30593	7590	01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
•	DICKEY & F	VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N			
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2661	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/825,203	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF TI - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. a is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period winded period for reply will, by statute, or than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application	2b)⊠ This is in condition for allowan	ninary Amendment filed on 4/16 action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pr x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	n(s) is/are withdraw allowed. ejected.		
Application Papers			
Applicant may not reque Replacement drawing s	n is/are: a) ☐ acce est that any objection to the o heet(s) including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1		
a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the c application from	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	have been received in Applicatity documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-26 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,724,722. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 571-272-3078. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KNV 1/21/05

> KENXETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER